ui

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,803	10/19/2004	Nicolaas Lambert	NL 020296	4275	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 02/06/2008 ELLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			ALAM, MI	ALAM, MUSHFIKH I	
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, and the second	2623		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		02/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,803	LAMBERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mushfikh Alam	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ap	oril 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/511,803 Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gemmell (US 7237032) in view of Welch et al. (US 6735633).

Claim 1, Gemmell teaches a circuit for storing audiovisual data, said circuit being connectable to a main memory, said circuit comprising:

- an input for receiving data (col. 8, lines 24-25); and
- an output for distributing data stored in the main memory (buffer) (col. 8, lines 24-29);
- a processor (client) coupled to the input to receive data in layers (base layers, enhancing layers) (col.
 2, lines 42-56);
- an auxiliary memory (cache memory), coupled to the processor for storing one or more enhancement layer (col. 8, lines 33-34, col. 9, lines 16-31); and
- wherein the circuit is further adapted to store one or more basic layers (base layer) in the main memory (buffer) (col. 9, lines 47-54). The base layer is streamed to the client without any enhancement layers until told to do so, therefore, these base layers are contained in the buffer.

Gemmell is silent regarding a circuit for storing audiovisual data, said circuit being connectable to a main memory, said circuit comprising:

10/511,803

Art Unit: 2623

a data compression processor to compress the received data by means of bit-rate scalable

compression.

Welch teaches a circuit for storing audiovisual data, said circuit being

connectable to a main memory, said circuit comprising:

a data compression processor (transformation processor) to compress the received data by means of

bit-rate scalable compression (col. 8, lines 21-29).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to have provided bit-rate scalable compression as taught

by Welch to the processor of Gemmell to better manage bandwidth allocation (col. 2,

lines 26-33).

Claim 4, Welch teaches a circuit according wherein the circuit is arranged to vary

the amount of compression, preferably in a wide range of bit-rates and/or compressions

(col. 8, lines 12-41). A data stream may have different transmission rates for different

bandwidth allocations, therefore the data streams bit-rates will vary.

Claim 5, Gemmell teaches an apparatus for storing audiovisual data, said

apparatus comprising: the circuit:

an input terminal for receiving the data (at the client), coupled to the input of the circuit (col. 8, lines

24-25);

an output terminal for supplying a delayed version of the data (data is held in the buffer), coupled to

the output of the circuit (col. 7, lines 1-3, col. 8, lines 20-34); and

Application/Control Number:

10/511,803 Art Unit: 2623

• a main memory (buffer) coupled to the output of the circuit and to the output terminal of the apparatus (col. 7, lines 1-3, col. 8, lines 20-34).

Claim 6 is analyzed as a method of claim 1.

3. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gemmell (US 7237032) in view of Welch et al. (US 6735633) and further in view of Lerman et al. (US 6378036).

Claim 3, Gemmell, Welch are silent regarding a circuit according to claim 1, comprising a reversible queue mechanism.

Lerman teaches a circuit according to claim 1, comprising a reversible queue mechanism (col. 6, lines 60-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a reversible queue mechanism as taught by Lerman to the system of Gemmell and Welch to leave more time available for other requests (col. 6, lines 62-63).

Claim 2, Lerman teaches a circuit according to claim 1, wherein the auxiliary memory comprises a FIFO buffer (col. 2, lines 15-38).

Inquiries

10/511,803

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mushfikh Alam whose telephone number is (571) 270-1710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 8:30-18:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA 1/30/2008

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600